

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,854	03/24/2004	Michael Stephen Mckinnon	kinnon DC7000USCNT 6675	
23906	7590 03/14/2006	EXAMINER		
E I DU PO	NT DE NEMOURS AN	CAIN, EDWARD J		
LEGAL PAT	ΓENT RECORDS CENTE			
BARLEY M	ILL PLAZA 25/1128	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE	1714		
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

y
٦

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/808,854	MCKINNON, MICHAEL STEPHEN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edward J. Cain	1714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,ـــ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	on.	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,4-9 and 13-21</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2,3,10-12,14-16 and 22</u> is/are object						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	on priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a))-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	311 phoney under 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(a)	,-(d) 01 (i).				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	• •					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)							
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 4-9, 13 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Booij.

Booij discloses processes for the depolymerization of waste or scrap polyamides to less than 90% of the initial molecular weight (see Table 1). These processes are taught as carried out in methanol or ethanol as solvent and at temperatures between 180 and 400 C. The resulting depolymerized polyamide is taught as precipitated by rapid cooling or addition of a non-solvent followed by filtration. The separated solvents are reused after purification.

Therefore the reference anticipates the rejected claims.

Applicants have argued in the parent application that the reference uses a catalyst while the instant invention does not. It is not seen how the use of a catalyst is excluded from the instant processes.

Applicants have argued that the degree of conversion to monomers of 11.5 % taught by the reference results in polymers with significantly less than 25% of the initial molecular weight. This allegation needs to be supported by factual data or argument in affidavit form.

Claims 2, 3, 10-12, 14-16 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/808,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714